

DISPOSITION: December 5, 1946. A plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$400 on each count, a total fine of \$800.

11240. Misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. Dorchester Canning Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$400. (F. D. C. No. 20193. Sample No. 41701-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: November 4, 1946, Western District of Wisconsin, against the Dorchester Canning Co., a corporation, Hillsboro, Wis.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about August 23, 1945, from the State of Wisconsin into the State of West Virginia.

LABEL, IN PART: "Wiscos Brand Wisconsin Peas Early June Peas * * * Packed By Fall River Canning Co. Fall River, Wis."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the article was below standard in quality because of the high percentage of alcohol-insoluble solids and the high percentage of ruptured peas.

DISPOSITION: December 3, 1946. A plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$400.

11241. Misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. Fall River Canning Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$2,800. (F. D. C. No. 20196. Sample Nos. 22381-H, 23511-H, 23899-H, 24880-H, 24901-H, 24921-H, 52583-H, 52584-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: November 4, 1946, Western District of Wisconsin, against the Fall River Canning Co., Fall River and Janesville, Wis.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: Between the approximate dates of July 18 and August 30, 1945, from the State of Wisconsin into the States of Illinois, Missouri, Texas, and Kentucky.

LABEL, IN PART: (Cans, 3 shipments) "Eatmor Brand Wisconsin Peas," "Upper Deck June Peas," or "Wiscos Brand Wisconsin Peas Early June Peas"; (Cases, 2 shipments) "Merit Peas," or "Peas." The remainder was invoiced Std #4 Alaska," or "Std #3 Alaska."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the quality of the product as a whole was below standard because of the high percentage of alcohol-insoluble solids.

Various portions of product. Misbranding, Section 403 (e) (1), the article failed to bear a label containing the name and place of business of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; Section 403 (e) (2), it failed to bear a label containing an accurate statement, or any statement whatever, of the quantity of the contents; and, Section 403 (g) (2), it failed to bear a label containing the name of the food specified in the definition and standard of identity.

DISPOSITION: December 3, 1946. A plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$400 on each of the 7 counts, a total fine of \$2,800.

11242. Misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. River View Canning Corp. and Wallace W. Evans. Pleas of guilty. Fines, \$250 against corporation and \$100 against individual. (F. D. C. No. 20194. Sample No. 16784-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: April 9, 1947, Eastern District of Wisconsin, against the River View Canning Corp., Markesan, Wis., and Wallace W. Evans, president.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 1, 1945, from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois.

LABEL, IN PART: "The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., New York, N. Y. Distributors Early June Peas."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 403 (h) (1), the article was below standard in quality.

DISPOSITION: April 21, 1947. Pleas of guilty having been entered, the court imposed fines of \$250 and \$100 against the corporation and the individual defendant, respectively.

11243. Misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. Stoughton Canning Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$1,200. (F. D. C. No. 20197. Sample Nos. 3660-H, 21530-H, 43231-H.)

INFORMATION FILED: November 4, 1946, Western District of Wisconsin, against the Stoughton Canning Co., a corporation, Stoughton, Wis.